## Comparative Effectiveness Research: Initial Success Future Challenges

#### Gail R. Wilensky Project HOPE June 24, 2010



#### **CER:** A Different Focus in the U.S.

- Alternative ways to treat *medical conditions*
- Includes medical procedures, not just Rx and devices
- Information generation and dissemination not decision-making

**CER: Now Part of Health Care Reform** 



But --

- Controversy remains over the concept
- And with a variety of limitations
- ♦ And an uncertain role in decision-making

## Several Legislative Attempts Before ARRA/PPACA



HR 2184, "Allen/Emerson"
-- Introduced 5/07

HR 3162, "CHAMP" Bill
-- Passed House 8/07

S. 3408, "Baucus/Conrad"
-- Introduced 8/08

#### **CER – Still Very Fragile**

# FRAGILE

- Limits on its (direct) use
- Limited funding-- \$600 mil in FY 2014
- Implementation challenges
- Continuing "*concerns*" by various groups

# Need to Learn From ARRA Experiences



Focus on what happens in "real world"

- Role of Federal Coordinating Council
   Definition of CER, priority setting
- Need for coordinating function
- Setting strategic framework

## **Too Early to Know ARRA Results**



Can focus now on *processes; priority setting* 

- -- Use of IOM to help prioritize research
- -- Public input
- -- Importance of outreach
- -- Importance of transparency

#### **Early Results Will Be Important**

- Mix of studies
- Mix of methodologies
   -- IOM top 100: <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> RCT; <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> not
- Some results *could be* controversial
   -- Need input from affected parties
- Dissemination/explanations are important



# Many Short-Term Challenges for PCORI



- Appointment of Governing Board
- Executive Director/Senior Staff
- Developing a priority-setting strategy
- Establishing the rules for "credible evidence"





Methodology committee to be created to assist PCORI
 – Due to report in 18 months

Need to determine what constitutes "credible evidence"

- -- Impact of usual-care setting requirement
- -- Use of observational/other non-experimental design data

Challenges of past systematic reviews

**Ultimate Challenge: Making Use of CER** 



Primary stated purpose: *improved health outcomes* 

- "Secondary" purpose: building block to "spending smarter"
  - -- CER more suited to *reimbursement* decisions
  - -- Value-based reimbursement/value-based insurance principles

## **Improving Health Outcomes**



 Difficult to change physician behavior
 -- Need to get "buy-in" to the process
 -- Especially important if challenge "conventional wisdom"

 Important to involve patients/advocacy groups
 -- Included patients "like them", not just "averages"

# Using CER to Moderate Spending



A major challenge!

- PCORI: *can't* mandate coverage or reimbursement
- Other limitations on PCORI
- CMS *can't* use cost in coverage decision

*Start* using CER for *Private Sector Reimbursement* Then try to move to Medicare

#### Shaping Convergent Strategies for Comparative Effectiveness Research









An Association of Independent Blue Cross and Blue Shield Plans